Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/649,073	HOBBS ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Natalia Levkovich	1743
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Rejected</u>
(1) Natalia Levkovich.	(3)	
(2) Vincent Gustafson.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 24 July 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N /A		
Claims discussed: N/A		
Prior art documents discussed: N /A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GET Applicant was informed that the after-final amendments would action would be forthcoming.		
Part III.		
 ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summer 	The examiner will provide a record of the substance of	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
	4	
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Application	ant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The after-final amendments will not be entered, since they raise new issues (in particular, the 'covered internal channel' and the 'distinct and separate retractable element' raise new §112 issues)..

Jill Warden
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700